

Future of Work and Lifelong Learning: Education and Upskilling Strategies in the AI Era

Reza Fahmi^{1*}, Prima Aswirna²

¹Islamic Psychology Department, Faculty of Ushuluddin and Religion Studies, UIN Imam Bonjol Padang,

²Physic Education Department, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, UIN Imam Bonjol Padang,
Jl. Prof Mahmud Yunus, Padang 23129, Indonesia. Tel. +62-81268119048, Fax. +62-751-53129.

Corresponding author*

rezafahmi@uinib.ac.id

Abstract: The acceleration of digital technology, automation, and artificial intelligence (AI) has reconstructed global labor structures and changed skill requirements across industries. While 85 million jobs are predicted to disappear due to automation, an estimated 97 million new jobs are emerging in data analytics, cybersecurity, Internet of Things, robotics, and the creative sector. These transformations reveal a global urgency: workforce competitiveness will no longer rely solely on formal degrees, but on digital skills, creativity, adaptability, and lifelong learning. However, Indonesia remains behind in digital readiness, curriculum relevance, and industry–education collaboration. This research aims to explore (1) skill gaps between higher education output and future industry needs, (2) factors affecting workforce readiness, and (3) applicable upskilling strategies for Indonesian learners. Using a qualitative–descriptive design, data were obtained through in-depth interviews with lecturers, HR practitioners, government officials, and students. Thematic analysis resulted in five dominant issues: outdated curriculum, lack of innovative learning methods, weak lifelong learning culture, minimal industry involvement, and slow education policies. This study proposes an integration model combining industry-based curriculum, project-based learning, micro-credentials, and professional certification. The model was validated through Focus Group Discussion (FGD), showing its relevance and applicability for universities, vocational institutions, and industry. This work contributes practically to national human capital development and theoretically strengthens the perspectives of Human Capital Theory and Lifelong Learning Theory in the digital era.

Keywords: AI, curriculum, digital literacy, lifelong learning, upskilling.

Introduction

The rapid growth of automation and artificial intelligence (AI) has fundamentally transformed the world of work. The World Economic Forum (2024) predicts that 40% of jobs will experience significant restructuring, and routine tasks will increasingly be replaced by intelligent machines. At the same time, emerging fields such as data science, cloud computing, digital security, and the creative economy are growing rapidly. These shifts confirm that the future workforce does not rely merely on cognitive knowledge, but on agility, problem-solving, digital literacy, creativity, and continual learning.

Indonesia faces a dual challenge. First, the digital competency of its workforce is lower than

many Asia–Pacific countries. Second, formal education remains theory-oriented, with limited exposure to real industrial technology. Many graduates lack practical experience, global communication skills, and portfolio-based achievements required by employers. This problem is intensified by the weak culture of lifelong learning; most Indonesian workers stop studying after graduation, while employers require continuous certification and professional upgrading.

Human Capital Theory asserts that education and training increase productivity and economic value (Goldin & Katz 2011; Noe 2020). However, in the AI era, human capital is not static; it must be continuously renewed. Lifelong Learning Theory emphasizes flexible, modular, and self-paced

learning to adapt to shifting knowledge landscapes (Jarvis 2010; Merriam & Bierema 2014). Combining both perspectives provides a strong rationale for transforming education and workforce training in Indonesia.

Recent research addresses workforce digital readiness, but several gaps remain: (1) lack of applicable models integrating higher education and industry, (2) limited focus on micro-credential and certification systems, (3) absence of multi-stakeholder empirical data, especially in the Indonesian context.

Therefore, this study aims to answer three questions: (1) What skill gaps exist between higher education and future job demands? (2) What factors cause limited workforce readiness? (3) What upskilling model is applicable for Indonesia? This paper proposes a practical model for integrating curriculum, certification, and modular training supported by educational institutions, industry, and government policies.

Materials and Methods

This study employed a qualitative–descriptive approach to explore skills gaps, educational readiness, and upskilling strategies. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and Focus Group Discussion (FGD). Informants were selected purposively, comprising lecturers, vocational trainers, HR practitioners, policymakers, and students. Data collection lasted approximately four months. Interviews (45–90 minutes) were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis, including coding, categorization, and interpretation. Trustworthiness was established through triangulation, member checking, and peer debriefing.

Results and Discussion

The results show that Indonesia faces five major barriers to preparing a competitive digital workforce: (1) outdated curriculum and slow policy adaptation, (2) lack of digital learning

infrastructure, (3) low lifelong learning motivation, (4) weak industry collaboration, (5) gaps in lecturer competency regarding emerging technologies.

Industry demands graduates with professional certification and hands-on experience. Meanwhile, many universities still emphasize theory, lecture-based learning, and assessment based on grades rather than portfolios. This study confirms that curriculum alone cannot solve the problem; education transformation requires pedagogical change, lecturer upskilling, and infrastructure improvement.

The proposed model integrates:

- project-based learning with real industrial cases,
- micro-credential training and short-cycle modular courses,
- certification recognized by global platforms (AWS, Google, Microsoft),
- joint teaching and internship programs,
- government-supported incentives and policy reform.

FGD validation found that the model is realistic and beneficial: it reduces company training costs, accelerates workforce readiness, and enables graduates to compete globally. The results theoretically reinforce Human Capital Theory by demonstrating that competency upgrading must be continuous and measurable. They also extend Lifelong Learning Theory, showing that cultural and policy support are necessary for self-directed learning.

A concluding statement from the discussion: the future workforce requires an integrated system—not only education, but collaboration between learners, institutions, industry, and the state.

Conclusion

This study confirms that Indonesia must accelerate curriculum transformation, digital skill training, and industry collaboration to compete in the AI era. The proposed integration model offers an applicable framework for developing future-ready human capital through certification, modular learning, and project-based instruction. Education, industry, and government must share

responsibility in building a lifelong learning ecosystem.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank all the participants of the research for collecting data and analyzing the information that was provided. We appreciate to Farah Audi Fahmi and Danial Muhammad Fahmi to support the research.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References

- Ashton, D. (2018). *Education and the knowledge economy*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Berman, S. J. (2019). *Digital transformation: Beyond the buzzword*. New York: Routledge.
- Boud, D., & Garrick, J. (2014). *Understanding workplace learning*. London: Routledge.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Candy, P. C. (2002). *Lifelong learning and information literacy*. Chicago: ALA Editions.
- Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). *Only humans need apply*. New York: Harper Business.
- Goldin, C., & Katz, L. (2011). *The race between education and technology*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Harari, Y. N. (2018). *21 lessons for the 21st century*. London: Penguin.
- Jarvis, P. (2010). *Lifelong education and the learning society*. London: Routledge.
- Knowles, M. S., Holton, E., & Swanson, R. (2015). *The adult learner*. New York: Routledge.
- Kolb, D. A. (2015). *Experiential learning*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Malik, K. (2020). *The future of skills: Employment in 2030*. London: Pearson.
- Merriam, S. B., & Bierema, L. (2014). *Adult learning*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Noe, R. A. (2020). *Employee training and development*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Peters, M. A. (2020). *Education and the fourth industrial revolution*. New York: Springer.
- Rifkin, J. (2014). *The zero marginal cost society*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Rogers, E. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Geneva: WEF Press.
- UNESCO. (2020). *Education for sustainable development and lifelong learning*. Paris: UNESCO.